



## VILLAGE OF ROBBINS

INCORPORATED DECEMBER 14, 1917

TELEPHONE (708) 385-6940

FAX (708) 385-0642

MAYOR IRENE H. BRODIE, Ph.D.

3327 WEST 137TH STREET

ROBBINS, ILLINOIS 60472

PALMA L. JAMES  
Clerk

### TRUSTEES

Wale E. Garber  
Richard Williams  
Gregory Wright  
Adela F. Sharp  
James E. Coffey, Sr.  
Lynne D. Johnson

July 12, 2004

The Honorable Joe Barton  
Chairman  
Energy and Commerce Committee  
U.S. House of Representatives  
Washington, D.C. 20515

The Honorable John Dingell  
Ranking Member  
Energy and Commerce Committee  
U.S. House of Representatives  
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman and Mr. Dingell:

I understand that some Member of Congress have suggested requiring cable and satellite companies to sell basic cable networks on a channel-by-channel, or "a la carte," basis. On the surface, this idea sounds appealing, but a deeper look can only lead to the conclusion that a la carte packaging and pricing of programming would have a chilling effect on programming diversity in America.

Ethnic and minority populations in the U.S. are acutely underserved by television's current offerings, and many opinion leaders have called on media businesses to generate more channels to serve audiences of African-Americans, Hispanics, Asians, and other ethnic groups. While some progress is being made in this area, the imposition of an a la carte pricing model should bring those efforts to a screeching halt. Networks like ours, that serve diverse, minority and multilingual interest, would never have been launched in an a la carte world.

To reach the audience to which this programming is directed, cable channels need to be part of widely distributed cable or satellite tiers. Securing this kind of carriage with the potential advertising basis it provides - allows a network to sell national advertising. This ad revenue, along with the reasonable fees our channels must charge cable companies for carriage, allows us to provide high-quality programming.

If cable and satellite companies sell channels a la carte, it would instantly erode potential advertising support, forcing us to dramatically increase the per-subscriber fee we must charge. Ultimately, subscribers would find themselves paying about the same amount -- and possibly more -- for just a handful of channels, rather than having hundreds from which to choose, as they do today.

We are not the only ones who have recognized this outcome. In its comprehensive report on cable pricing released last fall, the General Accounting Office concluded: "If cable subscribers were allowed to choose networks on an a la carte basis, the economics of the cable industry could be altered, and if this were to occur, it is possible that cable rates could actually increase for some customers."

One of the great promises of cable is that with its multi-channel universe, subscribers can not only have programming designed for them, but also have the ability to share other cultures, communities, styles and viewpoints. The imposition of a la carte would drastically reduce, if not eliminate entirely, that opportunity.

A la carte is a classic case of a solution far worse than the perceived problem. Those who promote more diversity in today's media marketplace would do it a total disservice by supporting or voting for a la carte requirements.

Sincerely,



Mayor Irene Brodie

cc: Members of the House Energy and Commerce Committee